



US006370486B1

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Sivathanu**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,370,486 B1**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Apr. 9, 2002**

- (54) **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING COMBUSTION TEMPERATURE USING INFRARED EMISSIONS**
- (75) Inventor: **Yudaya Raju Sivathanu**, West Lafayette, IN (US)
- (73) Assignee: **En'Urga Inc.**, West Lafayette, IN (US)
- (\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: **09/232,424**
- (22) Filed: **Jan. 15, 1999**
- (51) **Int. Cl.**<sup>7</sup> ..... **G01K 11/00**; **G01J 5/00**
- (52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **702/135**; 702/130; 702/134; 374/121; 374/133; 374/134; 250/339.01; 250/339.04
- (58) **Field of Search** ..... 702/130, 134, 702/135, 189, 136; 250/339.01, 339.04, 339.11, 339.14, 339.02, 339.03; 374/121, 130–131, 132, 133, 134, 161, 170; 703/5, 12

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

3,795,918	A	3/1974	Sunderland	.....	356/45
4,142,417	A	3/1979	Cashdollar et al.	.....	73/355
4,306,835	A	12/1981	Hurley	.....	415/118
4,410,266	A	10/1983	Seider	.....	356/45
4,560,873	A *	12/1985	McGowan et al.	....	250/339.09
4,580,908	A	4/1986	Stewen	.....	374/130
4,582,426	A	4/1986	Douglas	.....	356/43
4,588,954	A	5/1986	Seymour	.....	328/151
4,607,963	A	8/1986	Ulrickson	.....	374/131
4,619,533	A	10/1986	Lucas et al.	.....	374/141

(List continued on next page.)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

DE	4028922	6/1991	.....	F23N/5/08
----	---------	--------	-------	-----------

EP	0425229	5/1991	.....	G01J/5/08
JP	60-036825	2/1985	.....	F23N/5/12
JP	63-040824	2/1988	.....	G01J/5/00
WO	WO 89/02069	3/1989	.....	G01J/5/08
WO	WO 93/18494	9/1993	.....	G08B/17/12
WO	9807013	2/1998	.....	G01J/5/00

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Sivathanu et al, “Simultaneous Multiline Emission Absorption Measurements in Optically Thick Turbulent Flames”, May 6, 1996, pp. 1–21.

Zurich Thesis abstract and 25 pgs of Introduction. Translation 1996.

McNesby et al, Rotational Temperature Estimation of CO at High Temperatures by Geographical Methods using FT–IR Spectrometry Applied Spectroscopy, vol. 45, No. 1, 1991.

Olsson et al, “Apparatus for studying premixed laminar flames using mass spectrometry and fiber–optic spectrometry”, American Institute of Physics, vol. 61, No. 3, Mar. 1990 (pp. 1029–1037).

Suter et al, “Bestimmung von Flammentemperaturen aus Infrarot–Strahlungsintensitäten von H2O, CO2 oder Russ” Translation is on previous page as Zurich Thesis, 1996.

Sivathanu et al, “Diagnosis of Gas Species Concentrations and Temperatures in Laminar Flames”, Nov. 97, pp 120–127 Purdue University.


(List continued on next page.)

*Primary Examiner*—Hal Wachsmann  
*Assistant Examiner*—Bryan Bui  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Hayes, Soloway, Hennessey, Grossman & Hage, P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The present invention relates to a combustion temperature sensor, and, more particularly, to a combustion temperature sensor that measures infrared energy emitted at several preselected wavelengths from a flame and/or a flame’s hot gas at a turbine inlet location and applies the energy signals to a calculation model to yield temperature.

**17 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets**



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,640,627 A	2/1987	Tracy et al. ....	374/121
4,652,755 A	3/1987	Solomon et al. ....	250/341
4,657,386 A	4/1987	Suarez-Gonzalez et al. ..	356/45
4,666,297 A	5/1987	Suarz-Gonzalez .....	356/45
4,679,934 A	7/1987	Ganguly et al. ....	356/43
4,750,139 A *	6/1988	Dils .....	702/134
4,797,006 A	1/1989	Masom .....	374/130
4,799,787 A	1/1989	Mason .....	356/43
4,896,965 A	1/1990	Goff et al. ....	356/417
4,924,478 A	5/1990	Tank .....	374/121
4,979,134 A	12/1990	Arima et al. ....	364/557
4,998,826 A	3/1991	Wood et al. ....	374/129
5,021,980 A	6/1991	Poenisch et al. ....	364/557
5,125,739 A	6/1992	Suarez-Gonzalez et al. ..	356/45
5,186,146 A	2/1993	Sohma et al. ....	123/435
5,203,632 A	4/1993	Fisher et al. ....	374/144
5,211,478 A	5/1993	Horsley .....	374/125
5,246,292 A	9/1993	Gal et al. ....	374/121

5,304,065 A *	4/1994	Hurst et al. ....	250/370.07
5,372,426 A	12/1994	Broudy et al. ....	374/127
5,421,652 A	6/1995	Kast et al. ....	374/208
5,612,676 A	3/1997	Plimpton et al. ....	340/578
5,717,608 A *	2/1998	Jensen .....	702/130
5,730,527 A	3/1998	Takayama et al. ....	374/131
5,762,419 A	6/1998	Yam .....	374/2
5,772,323 A *	6/1998	Felice .....	702/134

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Sivathanu et al, Miniature Infrared Emission Based Temperature Sensor and Light-off Detector, Purdue University (3 Pages).  
Sivathanu, “Rapid Scanning Near-Infrared/Infrared Spectrometer” Phase I Report, Jan. 1997 (Pages 1–10).  
Sivathanu, Rapid Scanning Infrared/Near Infrared Spectrometer, Progress Report, 1998 (13 Pages).

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

LENS DATA				
$\varnothing L$	$T_c$	$T_e$	$F_b$	$R$ ( $\pm 2\%$ )
11.43mm $\pm 0.03\text{mm}$	3.00mm $\pm 0.25\text{mm}$	2.05mm $\pm 0.20\text{mm}$	(21.27mm)	17.75 mm

FIG. 2A




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 6




FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B






FIG. 8




FIG. 9

# SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING COMBUSTION TEMPERATURE USING INFRARED EMISSIONS

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a combustion temperature sensor, and, more particularly, to a combustion temperature sensor that measures infrared energy emitted at several preselected wavelengths from a flame and/or a flame's hot gas at a turbine inlet location and applies the energy signals to a calculation model to yield temperature. Particular utility for the present invention is in the field of gas turbine engines; although other utilities are contemplated herein.

### 2. Description of Related Art

Combustion gas turbine designers and users can benefit from knowledge of flame temperature to determine, for example, NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emission concentrations, flame control, and flame-off conditions. Knowledge of these parameters can be used for increased turbine efficiency, and increased turbine blade life and reliability, as well as decreased pollution. While much effort has been devoted in the past to the problem of flame temperature determination, previously developed systems have been lacking in the ability to come up quickly and reliably with accurate and useful flame temperature measurements.

One example of such a temperature measurement system is shown in Cashdollar et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,142,417. This patent discloses an IR measuring pyrometer used to calculate both particle and gas temperature from an explosion or fire. In this system three or four IR wavelength measurements (1.57, 2.30, 3.46, and 5.19  $\mu$ m) are obtained to compute temperature of the particles and gas. Significantly, these wavelengths are chosen to avoid discrete emission bands of gases in the hot flame, e.g., those emission bands which correspond to the quantized energies of the vibrational and rotational states of molecules. The wavelength measurements, as provided by Cashdollar et al., are restricted to dust cloud flames which are optically "thick" (i.e., gas cloud flame is optically opaque at the chosen wavelength), to eliminate the need to compensate for background radiation. Thus, this system would be incapable of operating in an optically thin environment, such a turbine, since background radiation from the wall on the other side of the flame would be detected and would destroy any measurement obtained.

In still other prior art examples, temperature measurement is determined by detecting UV radical (e.g., OH, CO, CH, CHO, C, etc.) emission bands in the combustion chamber. For example, German Laid Open Patent Application DE 4028922/A1 and published PCT Application WO 98/07013, each disclose methodologies for temperature determination in a combustion chamber using UV spectral emissions from a variety of gaseous radicals. Radicals, by their very nature, are short-lived as compared to molecular gas constituents, and thus, determination of temperature from molecular gas components is more stable. While UV-spectral combustion temperature determination may be adequate for some purposes, such a system cannot be used for temperature control at the turbine inlet location. In addition, UV combustion temperature determination cannot provide information that can be used to improve turbine blade life and stability.

It has been proposed (e.g., En Urga Paper 1997) to determine temperature by observing the entire IR spectrum

and directly correlating certain radiation intensities of molecular CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. However, the harsh operating environment inside the turbine prohibit such a direct measurement. In addition the cost of producing a fiber optic fiber that is capable of both transmitting the entire spectrum without degrading in the harsh operating environment is too prohibitive. Thus, engineering trade-offs must be reached between the ability to effectively observe and transmit optical energy signals within a turbine environment, and to obtain appropriate IR wavelength intensities for accurate temperature measurement.

The present invention solves the aforementioned shortcomings of the prior art by selecting an optical fiber and detector that can withstand the operating environment of a turbine and transmit certain, meaningful wavelengths of optical energy to determine temperature. More specifically, the present invention includes improvements in the relationship of the various elements of the optical system to each other and to the flame. A lens is positioned so that it collects infrared (IR) radiation from that portion of the flame nearest the inlet to the turbine section. The lens focuses the IR energy on one end of an optical fiber, with a mounting structure supporting one end of the optical fiber in fixed relation to the flame. Compressed air is supplied to the mounting structure to shield the lens from combustion gases in the flame. The other end of the fiber is positioned to direct a beam of IR energy onto a plurality of detectors positioned in a second mounting structure spaced from the turbine. A spectral separation mechanism is provided before the detectors to separate the incident IR radiation into a plurality of narrow-range IR frequencies. An optical chopping mechanism is provided for interrupting the IR beam (at a predetermined frequency) before the beam reaches the spectral separating mechanism. In this way, the detector receives a chopped, narrow-range IR signal. The signals are converted to appropriate electrical signals, processed to determine optical energy, and preferably compared to a predefined look-up table to determine a temperature value for a given set of detected optical energy signals.

It should be emphasized that the disclosure in this application includes "best mode" descriptions of preferred related technologies (e.g., temperature calculation via a look-up table) which are not part of the instant claimed invention. This disclosure is amplified for purposes of completeness.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the present invention provides a system and method for determining combustion temperature using infrared emissions. The present invention includes a sensor, a signal conditioning stage and a temperature determining stage to provide temperature measurement at a turbine inlet location.

In the present invention, an optical system is focused on the flame as the temperature to be measured. As mentioned above, it is desired to measure the flame temperature when the combustion process is essentially complete, i.e., the gaseous products of combustion contain stable compounds of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>. For this purpose, the IR radiation for that portion of the flame closest to the turbine inlet is measured. The resultant optical signal is focused on one end of a fiber optic cable and the other end of the fiber optic cable emits light into an optical detection system. This optical detection system includes an optical chopper, after which the optically chopped signal impinges on a number of separate detectors which convert the optical signal into an electric current. Each of the optical sensors is preferably provided with a

selective filter which passes only a very limited, discrete range (i.e., narrow band filter) of infrared wavelength. In the preferred embodiment, four wavelength filters are used: one to pass wavelengths of radiation specifically emitted by CO<sub>2</sub>, one to pass wavelengths specifically emitted by H<sub>2</sub>O, one to pass a correlated wavelength of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, and one to pass a background radiation wavelength. The resultant composite signal is then processed to obtain a stable optical energy signal at each of the selected wavelengths. Preferably, the signal processing includes programmable gain amplifiers and digital to analog circuits for preparation of the signals for computer calculations.

The IR signals must be fed to an optical detector which is subject to careful temperature control so that temperature effects of the detector can be eliminated in so far as is technically feasible. The optical chopper causes a zero optical signal to be available at a given chopping rate (such as 65 Hz) as well as the regular optical signal. Since only the difference between the two signals is used, any DC slow drift is eliminated. In this case, each channels' programmable gain amplifier is controlled by the computer and the signal processing system so that the signal remains in the middle A/D range where accuracy is best. The hardware also includes the use of the digital to analog converters to generate an offset to the signal to assist in further keeping the A/D conversion accurate.

A calibration is also performed. The purpose of calibrating the instrument is to account for component variations from sensor to sensor. Calibration consists of converting an electrical (voltage) signal from each detector element, to an optical (radiation) signal which is used in the software program to determine temperature. A blackbody radiation source is used for this purpose. Since the amount of radiation exiting a blackbody source is well known, there is a direct relation to the detector response. A standard instrument blackbody with emissivity  $\geq 0.99$  has a very well defined spectral emission as a function of its temperature. Optical radiation, at different blackbody temperatures, transmits through the entire optical system, and the voltage response from each of the four detector elements is measured. The detector output as a function of watts/steradian-cm is then calculated for each blackbody temperature. These data yield a graph to convert detector reading to the radiation intensity valued that are necessary for flame temperature back calculation.

The temperature calculation is performed by using a multidimensional look-up-table (LUT). The LUT is created by the following four steps. (1) A stochastic simulation is carried out to mimic the CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and temperatures over a broad range of values, and over the path length present in the turbine. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations vary from 0.005 to 0.08 mole fraction and H<sub>2</sub>O varies from 0.005 to 0.16 mole fraction. The temperature is varied over the range of interest from 500° C. to 1400° C. (2) The radiation intensities leaving these simulated paths are calculated using a narrow band model such as RADCAL. (3) Preferably, the resultant intensities are first sorted into a four dimensional table, with the radiation at each of the three wavelengths arranged in three columns. The temperature corresponding to the three intensity values are stored in a fourth column, in the four-dimensional LUT. (4) The sorted values are then averaged to provide a convenient number of intensities (typically 8 to 50) along the three dimensions, with temperature forming the fourth dimension. This table forms the LUT.

After the LUT is obtained, it is stored into memory. During operation, one of the intensity values is chosen as a

background radiation channel and is used to correct the intensities of the other 3 wavelengths, and the corrected intensities at these three wavelengths are used to find the temperature using a sequential search routine. This search is very fast, since the LUT has been sorted in an ascending (or descending) order.

To improve speed, equi-spaced intensities (or the logarithm of the intensities) with indexing can also be used.

The details of the various aspects of the system are described below in more detail hereinafter, and are specifically claimed in the copending application of Ser. No. 09/232,423 filed on even date herewith.

It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that although the following Detailed Description will proceed with reference being made to preferred embodiments and methods of use, the present invention is not intended to be limited to these preferred embodiments and methods of use. Rather, the present invention is of broad scope and is intended to be limited as only set forth in the accompanying claims.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent as the following Detailed Description proceeds, and upon reference to the Drawings, wherein like numerals depict like parts, and wherein:

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a simplified block diagram of the major elements of the system of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a detailed view of a preferred lens assembly (the preferred dimensions of the lens are shown in FIG. 2A);

FIG. 3 is a detailed view of lens mounting and fiber optic cable;

FIG. 4 is a detailed view of the relationship between the fiber optic cable, the optical chopper and the IR detector of the present invention;

FIGS. 5A and 5B is a side view and front view, respectively, of the preferred IR detector assembly of the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of the preferred embodiment of the signal conditioning system;

FIGS. 7A and 7B depict the operational flow of the signal conditioning system of FIG. 6; and

FIG. 8 is a simplified block diagram of the temperature calculation of the present invention, and

FIG. 9 is a plot of intensity vs. wavelength for several emission spectra.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As an overview, FIG. 1, depicts a block diagram representation of the major elements which make up the various aspects of the invention. A lens 10 focuses an IR image of a flame whose temperature is to be measured onto one end of an optical fiber 12. The other end of the fiber emits the IR radiation through an optical chopper 14 towards a group of optical filters 18 and IR detectors 20. The frequency of the optical chopper 14 is controlled by electronic 16 and the temperature of the IR detectors is controlled by a temperature controller 22 within a narrow, predetermined range (e.g., 0° C.,  $\pm 0.05^\circ$  C.) to prevent DC voltage drifts and thermal voltage effects. Temperature controller preferably includes a Peltier cooler, although other closed-loop cooling systems known in the art are deemed within the scope of the present invention. The electrical output of the IR detectors is

fed to a signal conditioning stage **24** to provide a stable optical energy signal for a wide range of operating temperatures. The output from the signal conditioning stage is fed into temperature stage **26** to calculate a flame temperature **30**. Each of these components of the present invention are discussed in detail below.

FIGS. **2** and **2A** depict the preferred form of the lens assembly of the present invention. The details and preferred dimensions are tabulated in FIG. **2A**. Preferably, the lens **10** is composed of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ . To withstand the severe operating environment inside the turbine near the combustion flame, the mount **50** is preferably formed of KOVAR® alloyed metal, although other materials capable of withstanding the temperatures and pressures within a turbine are contemplated. KOVAR® alloyed metal is preferred when AgCu brazing alloy is used to secure the lens **10** in the mount **50**, since Kovar permits direct brazing. The preferred lens having the dimensions illustrated will give a focal length of about 21.27 mm for a wavelength in the IR range of 2.275–2.885  $\mu\text{m}$ .

In order to mount the lens **10** in a secure position with respect to the IR input end of a fiber optic cable, the preferred design of mounting is constructed as schematically illustrated in FIG. **3**. As shown the KOVAR® alloyed metal lens mount **50** of FIG. **3** is supported on a mount holder **52** which, at its rear **54**, is connected to an outer lens housing **56** and an optical fiber mounting fixture **66**, thus permitting a predetermined fixed relationship between lens **10** and the input end **58** of the optical fiber **12**. This permits the input of the fiber to be positioned in the approximate focal plane of lens **10** at the IR wavelengths of interest.

As can be seen, there is a space **55** between the lens mount holder **52** and outer mount holder **56**. Into this space **55** a supply of high pressure purge air **62** is introduced through air inlet **60**. This air is introduced in a tangential fashion to provided radial and circumferential flow through space **55**. The purge air exits through fitting **64** which serves also as the mount for the optical system on the side of the turbine combustion chamber, a portion of which is shown at **65**. This portion is adjacent to the inlet to the turbine (not shown). The IR signal enters the fitting **64** and impinges on the lens **10** where it is focussed on the end **50** of the optical fiber. Since there is a constant flow of air through fitting **64** into the combustion chamber, no products of combustion can flow into space **55** (where such products might otherwise deposit on the lens **10** resulting in a decrease in IR signal strength and faulty temperature measurement). For convenience, the high pressure air from the turbine compressor stage (not shown) can be used as the purge air source and fed into the fitting, although a dedicated air source is deemed equivalent. This purge air has the dual function of cooling the lens mount and preventing combustion products from approaching the lens.

The fiber optic cable is preferably formed of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  for the first meter (or some predetermined distance away from the intense heat and mechanical stress around the combustion flame), starting at the IR input end and then continues as  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  for another meter. These two fibers have a diameter of about 0.4 mm and are optically aligned at their junction and are protected by a fiberglass buffer layer within an outer cable sheath **68** of stainless steel.

At the output end of cable **12**, there is provided a cable mounting fixture **72** which suitably secures the cable to the detector housing **74** illustrated schematically in FIG. **4**. This detector housing supports the output end of the cable **12** in position to direct the output IR past an optical chopper **78**

towards the detector assembly **79**. As shown, the optical chopper is placed in the path of the incident radiation between the end of the fiber **12** and the detector **79**, and is preferably a tuning fork-type and is driven at 65 Hz by suitable electronics **76**. Alternatively, the chopper can be formed with a spinning wheel having a plurality of openings and synchronized (via synchronizing drive electronics, described below) as a function of rotational velocity.

The IR detector assembly **79** preferably comprises four separate detector elements **82** mounted behind four IR filters **80**. A more detailed, enlarged plain view of the assembly **79** are shown in FIGS. **5A** and **5B**. The detectors **82** are preferably mounted on Peltier coolers **86** for closed-loop temperature control of the detectors. Peltier coolers are known in the art and commercially available. In a preferred embodiment of the invention the detectors **82** are lead sulfide and the four filters having the following peak IR transmissions: 2.28  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\pm 0.005 \mu\text{m}$ ) chosen as a background emission selected to be away from the emission radiation of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ; 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\pm 0.015 \mu\text{m}$ ) selected as the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  emission wavelength; 2.70  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\pm 0.015 \mu\text{m}$ ) selected as the  $\text{CO}_2$  emission wavelength; and 2.8  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\pm 0.005 \mu\text{m}$ ) selected as a combined  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  emission wavelength. The spectral plot (wavelength vs. intensity) is shown in FIG. **9**, and each of the four emission peaks are indicated in this figure. Each of these wavelengths are depicted. The raw electrical signal from the IR detectors **82** exits through wiring **84**.

Referring now to FIG. **6**, a detailed block-diagram representation of the preferred embodiment of the signal conditioning stage **24** of the present invention is depicted. Essentially, signal conditioning stage **24** consists of a feedback loop utilizing a programmable gain amplifier (PGA) **102**, and A/D converter **104** and a digital processor/controller **106**. It should be noted at the outset that the circuit shown in FIG. **6** can be duplicated as necessary for each sensor (discussed above), although the A/D converter **104** and the processor/controller **106** are preferably comprised of multiple input devices (via multiplexing, not shown) that can accommodate multiple sensor signal inputs.

As disclosed above, the sensor **108** (which includes the lens, lens housing, fiber optic cable, detector, etc.) preferably includes an electromechanical signal chopper (e.g. an electronically controlled tuning fork, a wheel, etc.) that causes the detector to obtain 2 signals: a “dark” signal when the chopper is closed (i.e., no optical signal is obtained by the sensor), and a “light” signal when the chopper is open. Preferably, the chopper frequency is set at 65 Hz., although other frequencies are envisioned, provided that the associated circuitry shown in FIG. **6** has time to settle between light and dark signals.

The output signals from the sensor are fed into a programmable gain amplifier (PGA) **102**. The PGA preferably includes a difference amplifier **110** and a programmable gain amplifier **112**, and is utilized to adjust signal level as a function of intensity, and to obtain a signal output that is in the middle of the operating range of the A/D converter **104** to increase overall dynamic range of the system **100**. In the preferred embodiment, the A/D converter **104** has inputs from the PGA **102** and the chopper signal synchronizing signal from the signal chopper drive **14**. The output is fed into processor/controller **106**. Processor/controller **106** obtains the values of the “light” and “dark” signals and calculates and determines appropriate OFFSET **118** and GAIN **120** values, as described below.

In block diagram form, the preferred operational flow of the signal conditioning stage **24** is shown in FIG. **7A** and **7B**.

For clarity, corresponding reference numbers of the components of FIG. 6 are omitted. Before the instrument, which includes the sensor **108** and signal conditioning stage **24**, is placed in an operating environment, the sensor and associated electronics embodied in FIG. 6 are calibrated **130** (FIG. 7A). System calibration **130** is provided to obtain a calibration constant (K) for each system. Those skilled in the art will recognize that variations will exist between each component in the instrument (and between instruments), and thus, it is desirable to calibrate each system by determining each system's input/output transfer constant. Thus, each system is measured to determine its operational curve. Optical energy (OE) is related to observed optical voltage (V). Accordingly, an input optical signal of a known temperature is input into the system **132**. A blackbody radiation source is preferably used for this purpose, since the amount of radiation exiting a blackbody source is well known, there can be obtained a direct relationship with the response of the sensor and associated electronics. Preferably, a standard blackbody with emissivity >0.99 is used having a well-defined spectral emission as a function of temperature. Optical radiation, at different blackbody temperatures, transmits through the sensor **108**, and the voltage response from each of the sensors is measured. The detector output (watts/steradian-cm) is then calculated for each blackbody temperature. A constant K is determined **134** by observing the output signal as a function of the input signal (optical energy (OE)=K\* input voltage). It should be noted that the input/output relationship is not necessarily linear, and thus, K may reflect a nonlinear curve relating input to output. Preferably, several test input values are used to determine a constant for each input, thus, a calibration curve, not shown, is obtained. The processor/controller stores K **136**, to be used in calculating optical energy and temperature, discussed below.

The operational flow of conditioning an optical input signal from each sensor (as described above) at a given wavelength, is shown in FIG. 7B, with reference to the system **24** of FIG. 6. From the signal chopper and PGA, the processor/controller obtains the raw values of the "light" signal **142** and the "dark" signal **144**, each alternating according to the chopper frequency (e.g. 65 Hz.) synchronized via the A/D converter. Preferably, light and dark signals are obtained at the leading edge and falling edge of the chopper reference signal, respectively. Each value is stored by processor/controller for a predetermined time (e.g., 5 second buffer memory) to compare current values with previous values. The value of the "dark" signal (background radiation) and the "light" signal are used to calculate an OFFSET value **148**, which is differentially compared to the chopper signal from the sensor in the PGA **152**. Preferably, OFFSET value is determined so that a positive value is always obtained from the PGA. Knowing the amplitude of the signals, processor/controller calculates an appropriate GAIN value **150**, and inputs this value to the PGA **154**. Preferably, the GAIN dynamically adjusts the raw signal value to keep the amplified signal in the "middle" of the A/D converter input voltage range, thereby increasing dynamic range and avoiding saturation. For example, if the operating voltage range of the A/D is 0 to 5 V., the gain applied will maintain the signal value at approximately 2.5 V. The output of the PGA is fed into the A/D converter and supplied to processor/controller **156**. As with the raw signal values, the gain values can be maintained in buffer memory for a predetermined time. Optical energy (OE) is then calculated **158** as a function of input optical intensity (in millivolts) and the calibration constant K (described above),

for each of the four wavelengths from the sensor. In the preferred embodiment, four optical energy output signals **122** are obtained from which temperature is determined, as described below.

To determine temperature, the four optical energy signals are provided as inputs to a predetermined look-up table (described below) in which temperature is back-calculated using known optical energy vs. temperature model calculations. As shown in FIG. 8, three optical energy signals corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O emission spectra and one background radiation signal (corresponding to the background radiation from combustion) **122** are determined, from the above-described process. The background radiation signal is subtracted from each of the other three emission signals **160**, using a correction factor that corrects for filter widths and relative emissivity at each of the three wavelengths. This correction factor can be assumed constant (i.e., the relationship between the given wavelengths for any given instrument is fixed, and exhibits little, if any, change as a function of optical energy, temperature, etc.). As shown in the figure,  $I_t = I_r - I_b$  ( $\alpha$ ); where  $\alpha$ =the correction factor,  $I_r$ =the intensity value at a preselected wavelength (in the preferred embodiment, three wavelengths are chosen, as described above), and  $I_b$ =the background intensity value. The calculation is performed at preferably 3 wavelengths: 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  (+/-0.015  $\mu\text{m}$ ), 2.70  $\mu\text{m}$  (+/-0.015  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and 2.8  $\mu\text{m}$  (+/-0.005  $\mu\text{m}$ ); with wavelength 2.28  $\mu\text{m}$  (+/-0.005  $\mu\text{m}$ ) being used as the background radiation signal. The three adjusted signals are used as inputs in determining temperature from the look-up table.

The preferred construction of the LUT is described below. Using a narrow-band radiation model, such as provided by commercially available spectroscopy simulation models (e.g., RADCAL: A Narrow-Band Model for Radiation Calculations in a Combustion Environment, Gossander, William L., NIST Technical Note 1402, April 1993), a simulated calculation of radiation intensity (at one or more wavelengths) as a function of (1) path length, (2) temperature, and (3) molar concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O is obtained. For the present invention and the intended operating environment, the path length (i.e., the distance between the sensor and the turbine wall can be assumed to be homogeneous. In addition, it is known that the temperature range can vary from 900 to 1500 degrees C., and, for hydrocarbon flames, the molar concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O can vary between 0 and 0.075, and 0 and 0.15, respectively. Thus, a temperature range of 800 to 1600 degrees C., and molar concentration values of 0.01 to 0.08 and 0.01 to 0.16 (CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively) are used. To improve speed, equi-spaced intensities values (or the logarithm of the intensities) can be used. Thus, for example, the present invention can be adapted to run the calculation using 48 equi-spaced variable values within the above-noted temperature and concentration range. Of course, the present invention can realize a larger number calculations, which would increase the accuracy (by decreasing the error associated with interpolation) at the expense of expanding the size of the LUT. Ultimately, the only unknown quantity of concern is temperature. Thus, in the preferred embodiment, the LUT comprises three columns of intensity values and one column of corresponding temperature values. To that end, preferably a 4-dimensional look-up table (LUT) **162** is used to determine temperature **164** from the three radiation signals. Of course, the LUT can be constructed in n-dimensions, corresponding to the number of sensor signals used. The resultant table (LUT) is stored as a database (not shown) and is essentially formatted as plurality of columns,

one column for each wavelength chosen, and a final column of corresponding temperature values for each row of wavelengths, and is stored in memory (not shown). Referring again to FIG. 8, the three signals 122 received from the signal conditioning stage are compared (e.g., using a search algorithm performed by, e.g., processor/controller 106) to the corresponding intensity values created in the LUT, and a corresponding temperature value is obtained. The processor that receives the radiation intensity values and compares these values to the LUT may be a separate processor without departing from the present invention.

Thus, it is evident that there has been provided a combustion temperature sensor system and method for operating same that fully satisfy both the aims and objectives hereinbefore set forth. It will be appreciated that although specific embodiments and methods of use have been presented, many modifications, alternatives and equivalents are possible. For example, processor/controller 106, A/D converter 104, PGA 102, D/A 116, and LUT (memory) 162 can be any custom made or off-the-shelf components known in the art, and may be provided in one unified system or be part of a modular, interchangeable system, provided that the stated functionality is obtained. Although not shown, system 10 can be adapted with appropriate I/O ports to permit, for example, the signals to be displayed (e.g., using appropriately modified LCD and/or IED display modules) and to provide user-control of the various operational parameters herein described. To that end, the system 10 can include an RS485 digital bus to output the obtained temperature calculation to a display and/or mass storage (not shown). Further modifications are possible. For example, instead of the signal conditioning stage (FIG. 6) as provided herein, the signals received from the sensor 108 can be first fed into an A/D converter and supplied to processor/controller 106 for conditioning. To that end, the signals can be appropriately digitized at a sufficient sampling rate and bit-depth to achieve a desired resolution and dynamic range.

Still other modifications are possible. As described above in reference to the LUT, since each column of the table can be quite large (for example,  $48 \times 48 \times 48$ ), the LUT can be appropriately condensed, thereby saving memory space, and modified to optimize a sequential look-up operation. While not wishing to be bound by example, the intensity—temperature table stored in the LUT may be modified as follows. The first column of radiation intensity values can be arranged in ascending (or descending) order, while keeping the corresponding values in the remaining columns in the proper row. The first  $k$  values of the first column are averaged to obtain 1 value; where  $k=n \times n$ ; and  $n$  is the number of calculations made within the above-noted temperature and molar concentration range. This is repeated  $n$  times. The first  $k$  values of column 2 are then arranged in ascending (or descending) order, and the first  $n$  values are averaged, to obtain 1 value. The first  $n$  values in column 3 are then arranged in ascending order, and repeated. Of course, the above process assumes three wavelengths and 1 temperature value, in accordance with the preferred embodiment. However, this process can extend to any given number of wavelengths calculated. It will also be apparent to those skilled in the art that the intensity values obtained by the sensor and those calculated in the LUT may not precisely coincide. Thus, the present invention can be appropriately modified with standard interpolation techniques (e.g., linear, cubic spline, etc.). Alternately, the value of  $n$  may be increased so that the distance between any two intensity values for a given wavelength is within error tolerances. In yet another modification, the LUT can be modified to

include a curve-fit polynomial expression of intensity-temperature values, which can be approximated for each intensity value obtained by the sensor.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of determining temperature from a plurality of radiation intensity values, said method comprising the steps of:

creating a look-up table of a plurality of simulated radiation intensity values being calculated as a function of a range of temperature values and a range of molar concentration values of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ;

receiving a plurality of values of input radiation intensity signals;

comparing the values of said input radiation intensity signals with said simulated radiation intensity values and determining a temperature value from the value of said input radiation intensity signals.

2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein each said simulated radiation intensity value comprises a discrete IR radiation intensity value as a function of temperature.

3. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said input radiation intensity signals being selected from a group of discrete IR radiation intensity values consisting of: a first radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.275 and 2.285  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive; a second radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.545 and 2.575  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive; a third radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.685 and 2.715  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive; and a fourth radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.855 and 2.885  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive.

4. A method as claimed in claim 3, further comprising the step of subtracting the value of said first radiation intensity value from said second, third and fourth radiation intensity values and comparing the new second, third and fourth radiation intensity values to said simulated radiation intensity values.

5. A method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of formatting said look-up table into a plurality of columns, one column for each said simulated radiation intensity values at a preselected wavelength and a column of temperatures values, each row of said simulated radiation intensity values corresponding to a row in said column of temperature values.

6. A method as claimed in claim 5, further comprising the step of condensing each said column of said radiation intensity values.

7. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said range of temperature values are from 900° to 1500° C., inclusive.

8. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said range molar concentration values of  $\text{CO}_2$  are from 0 and 0.075, inclusive.

9. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said range molar concentration values of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are from 0 and 0.15, inclusive.

10. A method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of performing a sequential search operation of said table for comparing said input radiation intensity signals with said simulated radiation intensity values.

11. A method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising the step of interpolating between two simulated radiation intensity values at a given wavelength when said input radiation intensity value has a value between two sequential simulated radiation intensity values.

12. A system for determining temperature from optical energy comprising a processor to receive a plurality of

11

values of input radiation intensity signals and a database having a look-up table, said look-up table being created by calculating a plurality of simulated radiation intensity values as a function of temperature, and being formatted into a plurality of columns, one column for each said simulated radiation intensity values at a preselected wavelength and a column of temperatures values, each row of said simulated radiation intensity values corresponding to a row in said column of temperature values, said processor also being for comparing said input radiation intensity values to said simulated radiation intensity values and determining temperature based on the corresponding simulated radiation intensity values that are substantially identical to said input radiation intensity values.

13. A system as claimed in claim 12, wherein said look-up table being formatted as a plurality of columns representing said plurality of radiation intensity values and a column of temperature values corresponding to a given row of said simulated radiation intensity values.

14. A system as claimed in claim 12, wherein said input radiation intensity values being selected from a group of discrete IR radiation intensity values consisting of: a first

12

radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.275 and 2.285  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive; a second radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.545 and 2.575  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive; a third radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.685 and 2.715  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive; and a fourth radiation intensity value corresponding to an IR emission wavelength of between 2.855 and 2.885  $\mu\text{m}$ , inclusive.

15. A system as claimed in claim 12, wherein each said simulated radiation intensity value comprises a discrete IR radiation intensity value as a function of temperature.

16. A system as claimed in claim 12, further comprising a temperature sensor for generating said input radiation intensity values, said temperature sensor being adapted to detect a plurality of discrete IR signals corresponding to said input radiation intensity values.

17. A system as claimed in claim 16, wherein said temperature sensor being adapted to detect discrete IR signals at a turbine inlet location.

\* \* \* \* \*



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
**CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT NO. : 6,370,486 B1  
DATED : April 9, 2002  
INVENTOR(S) : Sivathanu

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:


Column 10,

Line 29, " $\mu$ Lm" should be --  $\mu$ m --

Line 50, "arc" should be -- are --

Signed and Sealed this

Third Day of December, 2002

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James E. Rogan", with a long horizontal flourish extending from the bottom of the signature.

JAMES E. ROGAN  
*Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office*